Bio-Economic aspects of off-shore seaweed cultivation - food and energy security

Niva Tadmor Shalev , University of Haifa



Dr. Shiri Zemah Shamir University of Haifa and IDC Herzliya

Dr. Amir Neori Israel Oceanographic & Limnological Research Ltd. National Center For Mariculture

Macroalgae as food and energy security – is it true?

By 2050: 9.1 billion people on earth

Continued increase in food demand would require raising of annual food production by **70%** (World Bank; FAO & HOW forum 2016).



Dretein course 2015 2050 % change									
Protein source	2015	2050	% change						
Bovine	67.9	107.5	63%						
Poultry	111.8	201.9	55%						
Pigs	119.4	150.3	79%						
Aqua	78	113.7	69%						
Milk	804.5	1119.7	72%						
Total	1181.6	1693.1	70%						
Source: IFIF; FAO									

Food demand and environment are in a collision course



- 40% of Earth ice-free land surface is used as cropland and pasture
- Irrigation uses ~2000 cubic Km of water annually
- Climate change
- Raise in global temperature
- Desertification
- Over exploitation of natural resource



- Shortage in fresh water supply
- Soil nutrient depletion
- Reduced terrestrial yields

Offshore biomass production

Macroalgae - A viable biomass source



Ocean - A huge unexploited marine area

for Industrial Macroalgae cultivation. Ocean farming of seaweeds has the potential to produce ~40 tone dry weight biomass per hectare per annum

Why Macroalgae (Seaweeds)

- Minimal use of arable land and freshwater
- Fast growth rate up to 50% day^{-1} .
- possess unique compositions of proteins, lipids and carbohydrates, variety of vitamins, essential amino acid, minerals and trace elements

Macroalgae - A viable biomass source

Tonnes Wet weight (in thousands

- Exponential growth of worldwide Macroalgae industry production over the last 50 years.
- Between 2005 -2014 average annual growth was 9.28% in quantity and 6.1% in monetary value.
- In 2014 Over 26 million ton (FW) of Macroalgae were produced from aquaculture, valued more than 5 billion US\$.
- To date Macroalgae farming is practiced in ~50 countries – An expansion of 8% per annum in the last decade (FAO, 2016)

2014 (Data from FAO 2016) 18000 4500 16000 4000 (in millions 14000 3500 12000 3000 10000 2500 8000 5 2000 N 6000 1500 Value 4000 1000 2000 500 Ω , May May May Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Bay Brown seaweeds production Red seaweeds production

Green seaweeds production

Brown seaweeds value

Global seaweeds production and value by species fila 2005-

Macroalgae uses

- ~80% of total seaweed production is for direct human consumption and hydrocolloid extraction
- ~20% is for food additives, feed, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, fertilizers, water purifier, green chemicals and bioenergy
- Using a biorefinery approach for bioenergy applications suggest to utilize the entire seaweed biomass for different purposes:

Sugar/ polysaccharid e	Mineral residues	Proteins
Substrate for fermentativ e processes	for fertilliser Applications Van den Burg	Food, feed, non food applications , et al., (2013).



Hydrocolloids and bio-ethanol prices

Seaweed hydrocolloid	2009 sales (t)	2015 sales (t)	Aagr % ^a
Agar	9, 600	14,500	7
Alginates ^b	26,500	24,644	-7
Carrageenans	50,000	57,500	2
Total	86,100	93,035	1
^a Annual average growth	rate		
^b Food-pharma-PGA gr	ades only		

Table 1 Seaweed hydrocolloid sales volumes

Porse & Rudolph (2017)

Seaweed hydrocolloid	2009 sa (millio)	les 1 USD)	2015 s	ales (million USD)	% Change
Agar	173		246		6
Alginates	318		345		8
Carrageenans	527		518		-1
Total	1018		1058		2

Table 3.A1.8. World biofuel projections

OECD/FAO 2016

			Average 2013-15est	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
ETHA	NOL												
W	orld												
	Production	min L	111.5	119.3	122.0	123.2	124.2	125.1	125.1	125.7	126.4	128.0	128.4
	of which maize based	min L	59.2	62.9	64.9	64.8	64.4	64.2	63.3	63.1	63.0	63.4	62.6
	of which sugar cane based	min L	26.9	29.5	29.9	30.3	31.1	31.8	32.4	33.0	33.4	34.1	34.6
	of which biomass based	min L	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
	Consumption	min L	111.5	119.9	123.1	124.4	125.3	126.1	126.0	126.6	127.3	128.8	129.2
	of which fuel use	min L	89.0	96.5	99.4	100.3	100.8	101.2	100.7	101.0	101.2	102.4	102.3
	Exports	min I	7.3	77	7.8	8.0	7.8	8.2	8.0	7.8	77	74	6.9
	Price ¹	USD/t	57.8	46.7	48.8	49.5	50.5	52.7	54.0	56.5	58.2	60.9	60.3

Macroalgae – important protein source for high value market **Protein Ingredients Market worth**

- A transition from commoditized biomass to valuable bioactive compound and high value market development is needed for the future of seaweeds industry.
- This industry will require: Selection of improved cultivars Domestication of new species Refinement of cultivation technique Improve quality control and traceability of products.

(Hafting et al 2015)

58.49 Billion USD by 2022

marketsandmarkets.com (2017)

- Protein major factor when assessing health benefit.
- Out of 22 amino acid 9 considered essential for humans.
- Livestock provides ¼ of all the protein (and 15% of energy) consumed in food, but also creates substantial environmental impacts
- The chemical score of seaweeds protein ranged from 0.75to 1 – superior to most terrestrial plants based on individual amino acids residues following acidic hydrolysis)

Macroalgae – High protein score

Mæhre et al (2014)

Table 2. Total amino acid	ls in ten macroalgae	e species (n = 5)	Chemical Score did not consider protein digestibility							
	A. esculenta	L. digitata	L. hyperborea	F. vesiculosus	P. canaliculata	C. rupestris	E. intestinalis	U. lactuca	P. palmata	V. Ianosa
Essential amino acids (EAA)										
Threonine	5.1 ± 0.4c	3.8±0.3b	3.5 ± 0.3b	3.4±0.4b	3.5 ± 0.1b	2.2±0.3a	8.0 ± 0.8def	6.2 ± 0.3d	7.1 ± 0.2e	7.8 ± 0.3f
Valine	5.5 ± 0.3c	3.6±0.3b	3.5 ± 0.2b	3.7 ± 0.6ab	3.9 ± 0.2b	2.4±0.3a	8.4 ± 1.3cde	7.1±0.1d	9.6 ± 0.4e	7.6±0.3d
Methionine	2.4 ± 0.3cde	1.8 ± 0.1bc	$1.6 \pm 0.1b$	1.5 ± 0.2b	1.4±0.1b	0.9 ± 0.1a	2.3 ± 0.4bcde	2.2 ± 0.1 d	3.1 ± 0.2e	1.8 ± 0.2bcd
Isoleucine	3.8 ± 0.5bc	2.7±0.1b	2.2±0.2a	2.7 ± 0.3ab	3.0 ± 0.0b	1.6 ± 0.4a	5.9 ± 1.0cde	$4.4 \pm 0.2c$	6.5 ± 0.1d	7.2 ± 0.2e
Leucine	7.5 ± 0.9c	5.2 ± 0.1b	4.5 ± 0.5b	5.0 ± 0.7b	5.2 ± 0.3b	$2.7 \pm 0.5a$	9.5 ± 1.2cde	8.5 ± 0.3c	11.3 ± 0.3e	9.9±0.2d
Phenylalanine	$4.8 \pm 0.5c$	3.4±0.3b	$3.1 \pm 0.1b$	3.3 ± 0.4b	3.4±0.1b	2.1 ± 0.3a	7.4 ± 1.1 cdef	6.0 ± 0.2d	7.1 ± 0.2e	8.2 ± 0.2f
Lysine	$5.3 \pm 0.5c$	3.7±0.2b	3.4±0.3b	4.3 ± 0.6bcd	3.7 ± 0.2b	2.1 ± 0.4a	6.4 ± 0.9c	5.1 ± 0.2c	8.9±0.4d	12.6 ± 0.3e
Histidine	1.6 ± 0.2bcde	1.2 ± 0.1b	1.2 ± 0.1bc	$1.1 \pm 0.1b$	1.0 ± 0.1 ab	0.7 ± 0.2a	2.1 ± 0.4bcde	1.6±0.1 cd	1.8±0.1de	2.0 ± 0.0e
Tryptophan	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Non-essential amino acids (NEAA)										
Aspartic acid ^a	8.4±0.7c	6.2 ± 0.2b	5.9±0.4b	8.3 ± 1.1bc	5.9 ± 0.3b	$3.5 \pm 0.4a$	14.6 ± 1.8de	9.0±0.3c	13.1 ± 0.3e	12.3 ± 0.2d
Serine	5.2 ± 0.4c	3.6±0.1b	3.5 ± 0.3b	3.5 ± 0.5ab	3.6±0.1b	2.2 ± 0.3a	7.8 ± 1.1cde	5.9±0.3c	8.4 ± 0.2e	7.7 ± 0.3d
Glutamic acid ^a	20.1 ± 1.1e	8.5 ± 0.6b	8.6±0.6b	17.9 ± 2.2 cde	15.0 ± 1.2 cd	5.7 ± 0.8a	18.2 ± 2.0de	$12.2 \pm 0.5c$	21.3±0.5e	16.3 ± 0.4d
Proline	5.1 ± 0.8abc	3.9±0.2b	3.5 ± 0.5ab	3.1 ± 0.5ab	3.2±0.2a	2.9 ± 0.3a	6.6 ± 1.0c	$5.8 \pm 0.5c$	9.7±0.3d	10.8 ± 0.5d
Glycine	5.7 ± 0.6b	4.1 ± 0.2a	3.8±0.2a	$3.8 \pm 0.5a$	4.1 ± 0.2a	3.3±0.4a	8.5±0.9cd	7.3±0.2c	9.6±0.4d	8.9±0.2d
Alanine	18.9 ± 1.1 g	5.2 ± 3.0abcef	6.2 ± 0.5b	5.0 ± 0.7b	5.5 ± 0.3b	$3.1 \pm 0.5a$	14.7 ± 1.8dg	10.1 ± 0.3de	12.2 ± 0.5df	7.6±0.5c
Cysteine	n.d.a	n.d.a	n.d.a	n.d.a	n.d.a	0.5 ± 0.2bd	1.4 ± 0.4 cef	1.0 ± 0.2de	0.5 ± 0.1bc	2.1 ± 0.1f
Tyrosine	2.9 ± 0.4c	1.8±0.2b	1.6±0.1ab	1.5 ± 0.2ab	1.4±0.1a	1.5 ± 0.3ab	3.8 ± 0.5 cd	3.4 ± 0.4 C	4.7 ± 0.2de	5.4±0.4e
Arginine	4.8±0.6cd	3.4 ± 0.2bc	3.0±0.1ab	3.2 ± 0.4ab	3.2 ± 0.2ab	2.5 ± 0.3a	7.4 ± 1.2def	6.0 ± 0.3d	8.6±0.3f	7.0±0.4e
Sum TAA	107.2 ± 6.6c	62.2 ± 4.2b	58.9 ± 3.3b	71.2 ± 8.4b	66.8 ± 3.2b	40.1 ± 5.0a	132.9 ± 17.1 cd	101.5 ± 3.9c	143.6 ± 3.7d	135.1 ± 2.7d
Relative amount EAA (%)	33.5±1.4a	40.9 ± 2.2cdefg	38.9 ± 0.3 cd	35.0±1.1ab	37.7 ± 0.6bc	36.7 ± 0.9abcd	37.5 ± 0.4be	40.3±0.4g	38.6±0.2c	42.3 ± 0.1f
Chemical score	0.84	1.00	0.89	0.80	0.82	0.96	0.91	0.92	0.75	0.87

Seaweeds provide variety of ecosystem – services that should be valued and monetized

- Primary producer
- Contribute ~ 50% of the world's carbon fixation
- Natural means for GHG emissions
- Nutrient cycling and waste purification
- Offshore farm create new habitat for diverse species
- Job creation
- Healthy source of nutrition



Challenges of offshore cultivation

- which seaweeds species should producer cultivate
- what should be the biomass volume before harvesting
- Seasonality deviation in bioactive compounds
- Optimal farm size and location
- Technology availability
- Hatchery to planting to harvest to processing efficiency.
- Complex interaction between different factors: (water temperature, light, nutrients, salinity, pH, currents, waves, winds)

Levant basin in the **Mediterranean Sea** The warmest, saltiest and nutrient-poorest water, most energetic winter waves, occur in the Israeli coastal shoreline

There is a need for viable source of biomass and cultivation medium for mass production to achieve food and energy security

- It must be sustainable and economic feasible
- economic valuation and feasibility :
- Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA)
- Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)



Bio-Economic model



which seaweeds species should producer cultivate what should be the biomass volume before harvesting

Bio-Economic Model



Subject to:

 $\mathbf{P}_{t} = \mathbf{P}_{t} (\mathbf{W}_{t,}, \mathbf{H}_{t,} \mathbf{Q}_{it})$

 $W_t = f(NUBR_t, AV_t(GR_t))$

 $E_{j,t} = EX(ENV_j, ES_j, P_{ESj})$