# Country Portfolio Dynamics

Devereux and Sutherland

Presented by Judit Temesvary

April 2 2008







-

② General overview of methodology

- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio



- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio
  - Solution method for time variation in equilibrium portfolio



- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio
  - Ø Solution method for time variation in equilibrium portfolio

#### Application



- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio
  - Ø Solution method for time variation in equilibrium portfolio

#### Application

Steady state portfolio



- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio
  - Ø Solution method for time variation in equilibrium portfolio

#### Application

- Steady state portfolio
- ② Time variation in equilibrium portfolio



- General overview of methodology
  - Solution method for the steady state portfolio
  - Ø Solution method for time variation in equilibrium portfolio

#### Application

- Steady state portfolio
- ② Time variation in equilibrium portfolio

### Conclusion

• Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"

- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models



- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models
- As an extension, this paper shows how to derive the dynamic behavior of portfolios around equilibrium



- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models
- As an extension, this paper shows how to derive the dynamic behavior of portfolios around equilibrium
- Method is easy to implement and gives analytical solutions for the first-order behavior of portfolios around steady state



- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models
- As an extension, this paper shows how to derive the dynamic behavior of portfolios around equilibrium
- Method is easy to implement and gives analytical solutions for the first-order behavior of portfolios around steady state
- In most cases, method generates analytical results



- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models
- As an extension, this paper shows how to derive the dynamic behavior of portfolios around equilibrium
- Method is easy to implement and gives analytical solutions for the first-order behavior of portfolios around steady state
- In most cases, method generates analytical results
- In more complex cases, it can be used to generate numerical results



- Current paper builds on Devereux and Sutherland (2006): "Solving for Country Portfolios in Open Economy Macro Models"
- Background paper shows how to derive equilibrium portfolios for open economy dynamic general equilibrium models
- As an extension, this paper shows how to derive the dynamic behavior of portfolios around equilibrium
- Method is easy to implement and gives analytical solutions for the first-order behavior of portfolios around steady state
- In most cases, method generates analytical results
- In more complex cases, it can be used to generate numerical results
- Useful in studying the response of portfolio allocations to business cycles

• Paper presents approximation method for computing



- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models



- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium

- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets

- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets

- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets
- Complication: impossible to use first order approximation methods in incomplete market models for two reasons:



- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal gross portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets
- Complication: impossible to use first order approximation methods in incomplete market models for two reasons:
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in first order approximation

- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets
- Complication: impossible to use first order approximation methods in incomplete market models for two reasons:
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in first order approximation
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in non-stochastic steady state



- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets
- Complication: impossible to use first order approximation methods in incomplete market models for two reasons:
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in first order approximation
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in non-stochastic steady state
- Solution to first problem: use second-order approximations

- Paper presents approximation method for computing
  - Equilibrium financial portfolios in stochastic open economy macro models
  - Time variation in these portfolios around equilibrium
- Provides analytical solutions for optimal **gross** portfolio positions in any types of assets
- Contribution: provides a model to analyze incomplete markets with multiple assets
- Complication: impossible to use first order approximation methods in incomplete market models for two reasons:
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in first order approximation
  - Optimal portfolio allocation is indeterminate in non-stochastic steady state
- Solution to first problem: use second-order approximations
- Solution to second problem: portfolio equilibrium endogenously determined so as to satisfy second order approximations of EOCs

# General Overview of Methodology

Portfolio holdings

$$\alpha(Z_t) \simeq \alpha(\overline{Z}) + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial Z} \Big( Z_t - \overline{Z} \Big)$$
$$\simeq \overline{\alpha} + \underbrace{\hat{\alpha}_t}_{=\gamma \hat{Z}_t}$$

- Samuelson (1970): to solve for portfolio holdings up to order *N* approximate the portfolio problem up to order *N*+2
- Expected excess returns

$$E_t[r_{xt+1}] = E_t[r_{1t+1} - r_{2t+1}] \simeq \underbrace{\overline{r_x}^e}_{=0} + \underbrace{\hat{r_x}^{e(1)}}_{=0} + \underbrace{\hat{r_x}^{e(2)}}_{constant} + \underbrace{\hat{r_x}^{e(3)}}_{=\delta'\hat{Z}},$$

 Once portfolio problem is solved the first-order behaviour of all other variables can be solved in the usual way - including the first-order behaviour of realised asset prices and returns

#### Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables



- Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables
- 2 Take first order optimality conditions with respect to portfolio choice



- Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables
- 2 Take first order optimality conditions with respect to portfolio choice
- Take a second order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - only depends on first-order non-portfolio variables



- Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables
- 2 Take first order optimality conditions with respect to portfolio choice
- Take a second order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - only depends on first-order non-portfolio variables
- Write first-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.



- Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables
- Take first order optimality conditions with respect to portfolio choice
- Take a second order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - only depends on first-order non-portfolio variables
- Write first-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.
- Use (4) to write (3) as function of eqm. portfolio and exogenous innovations only



- Separate variables into portfolio and non-portfolio variables
- Take first order optimality conditions with respect to portfolio choice
- Take a second order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - only depends on first-order non-portfolio variables
- Write first-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.
- Use (4) to write (3) as function of eqm. portfolio and exogenous innovations only
- Solve (5) for eqm. portfolio



# Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:



# Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

- Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:
- Take a third order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - depends on first and second order non-portfolio variables

# Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

- Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:
- Pake a third order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - depends on first and second order non-portfolio variables
- Postulate that time variation in eqm. portfolio is a linear function of state variables - look for vector of coefficients on state variables


## Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

- Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:
- 2 Take a third order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - depends on first and second order non-portfolio variables
- Postulate that time variation in eqm. portfolio is a linear function of state variables - look for vector of coefficients on state variables
- Write second-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.



## Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

- Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:
- 2 Take a third order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - depends on first and second order non-portfolio variables
- Postulate that time variation in eqm. portfolio is a linear function of state variables - look for vector of coefficients on state variables
- Write second-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.
- Use (4) to write (2) as function of time variation in eqm. portfolio and exogenous innovations only

## Solution Method for Time Variation in Equilibrium Portfolio

- Builds on previous slide: do everything above, plus:
- Take a third order Taylor series approximation of the FOCs around non-stochastic SS - depends on first and second order non-portfolio variables
- Postulate that time variation in eqm. portfolio is a linear function of state variables - look for vector of coefficients on state variables
- Write second-order approximation of non-portfolio variables as function of endogeneous portfolio eqm.
- Use (4) to write (2) as function of time variation in eqm. portfolio and exogenous innovations only
- Solve (5) for vector of coefficients for time variation in eqm. portfolio

۰

- Two countries and two (internationally traded) assets
- Home country produces good  $Y_H$  with price  $P_H$
- Foreign country produces good  $Y_F$  with price  $P_F^*$
- Home country agent preferences:

$$U_t = E_t \sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau-t} \left[ u(C_\tau) + v(.) \right]$$

- C is a composite of home and foreign goods
- $v\left(\cdot
  ight)$  captures parts not relevant to the portfolio problem
- *P* : consumer price index for home agents

Image: A matrix

- **∢ ∃** ►



• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$



• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Asset payoffs and prices measured in terms ot C





• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Asset payoffs and prices measured in terms ot C
- Budget constraint for home agents:





• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Asset payoffs and prices measured in terms ot C
- Budget constraint for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{1,t} + \alpha_{2,t-1}r_{2,t} + Y_t - C_t$$





• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Asset payoffs and prices measured in terms ot C
- Budget constraint for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{1,t} + \alpha_{2,t-1}r_{2,t} + Y_t - C_t$$

Then we have:



• 
$$r'_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1,t} & r_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Asset payoffs and prices measured in terms ot C
- Budget constraint for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{1,t} + \alpha_{2,t-1}r_{2,t} + Y_t - C_t$$

• Then we have:

• 
$$\alpha_{1,t-1} + \alpha_{2,t-1} = W_{t-1}$$

• Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:



• Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$





 Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$

• For foreign agents:



• Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$

• For foreign agents:

• 
$$W_t^* = \alpha_{1,t-1}^* r_{x,t} + r_{2,t} W_{t-1}^* + Y_t^* - C_t^*$$

• Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$

• For foreign agents:

• 
$$W_t^* = \alpha_{1,t-1}^* r_{x,t} + r_{2,t} W_{t-1}^* + Y_t^* - C_t^*$$

• Excess returns:

 Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$

• For foreign agents:

• 
$$W_t^* = \alpha_{1,t-1}^* r_{x,t} + r_{2,t} W_{t-1}^* + Y_t^* - C_t^*$$

• Excess returns:

$$r_{x,t} = r_{1,t} - r_{2,t}$$

 Rewrite budget constraint in terms of excess returns on assets for home agents:

• 
$$W_t = \alpha_{1,t-1}r_{x,t} + r_{2,t}W_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t$$

For foreign agents:

• 
$$W_t^* = \alpha_{1,t-1}^* r_{x,t} + r_{2,t} W_{t-1}^* + Y_t^* - C_t^*$$

• Excess returns:

۲

$$r_{x,t} = r_{1,t} - r_{2,t}$$

 At end of each period, agents select portfolio holding to carry into next period

()

For domestic agents:

$$E_t \left[ u'(C_{t+1})r_{1,t+1} \right] = E_t \left[ u'(C_{t+1})r_{2,t+1} \right]$$

For foreign agents:

$$E_t \left[ u'(C_{t+1}^*)r_{1,t+1} \right] = E_t \left[ u'(C_{t+1}^*)r_{2,t+1} \right]$$

From now, let:  $\alpha_t = \alpha_{1,t}$  and  $\alpha_{2,t} = W_t - \alpha_t$ Ignore non-portfolio equations for home and foreign agents • Approximate around  $\bar{X}$  (non-portfolio variables  $\bar{C}$ ,  $\bar{r}_x$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{W}$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}$  (portfolio holdings)



- Approximate around  $\bar{X}$  (non-portfolio variables  $\bar{C}$ ,  $\bar{r}_x$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{W}$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}$  (portfolio holdings)
- $\bar{X}$  determined by symmetric non-stochastic steady state



- Approximate around  $\bar{X}$  (non-portfolio variables  $\bar{C}$ ,  $\bar{r}_x$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{W}$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}$  (portfolio holdings)
- $\bar{X}$  determined by symmetric non-stochastic steady state

$$\bar{W} = 0, \ \bar{Y} = \bar{C} \qquad \bar{r}_x = 0$$
$$\bar{r}_1 = \bar{r}_2 = 1/\beta \qquad \bar{\alpha}_2 = -\bar{\alpha}_1 = \bar{\alpha}$$



- Approximate around  $\bar{X}$  (non-portfolio variables  $\bar{C}$ ,  $\bar{r}_x$ ,  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{W}$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}$  (portfolio holdings)
- $\bar{X}$  determined by symmetric non-stochastic steady state

$$\bar{W} = 0, \ \bar{Y} = \bar{C} \qquad \bar{r}_x = 0$$
$$\bar{r}_1 = \bar{r}_2 = 1/\beta \qquad \bar{\alpha}_2 = -\bar{\alpha}_1 = \bar{\alpha}$$

*α* determined endogenously - point such that second-order approximations of the FOCs are satisfied around *X* and *α α*

٥

• Second-order approximation of the home-country FOC:  $E_t \left[ \hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^2 - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^2 \right) - \rho \hat{C}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^3 \right)$ • Second-order approximation of the foreign-country FOC:  $E_t \left[ \hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^2 - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^2 \right) - \rho \hat{C}_{t+1}^* \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^3 \right)$ 



• Combining the above, we get:



- Combining the above, we get:
- Equation for equilibrium portfolio holdings:



- Combining the above, we get:
- Equation for equilibrium portfolio holdings:

• 
$$E_t \left[ \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^* \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = 0 + O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^3 \right)$$
 (1)



- Combining the above, we get:
- Equation for equilibrium portfolio holdings:
- $E_t \left[ \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} \hat{C}^*_{t+1} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = 0 + O \left( \epsilon^3_{APPR} \right)$  (1)
- Exuilibrium expected excess returns:



- Combining the above, we get:
- Equation for equilibrium portfolio holdings:

• 
$$E_t \left[ \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^* \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = 0 + O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^3 \right)$$
 (1)

• Exuilibrium expected excess returns:

• 
$$E[\hat{r}_{x}] = -\frac{1}{2}E[\hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2}] + \rho \frac{1}{2}E_{t}\left[\left(\hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*}\right)\hat{r}_{x,t+1}\right] + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^{3}\right)$$
(2)



- Combining the above, we get:
- Equation for equilibrium portfolio holdings:

• 
$$E_t \left[ \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}^*_{t+1} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = 0 + O \left( \epsilon^3_{APPR} \right)$$
 (1)

• Exuilibrium expected excess returns:

• 
$$E[\hat{r}_{x}] = -\frac{1}{2}E[\hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2}] + \rho \frac{1}{2}E_{t}[(\hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*})\hat{r}_{x,t+1}] + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^{3})$$
(2)

• Need to find  $\bar{\alpha}$  such that (1) holds

## • Devereux and Sutherland (2006) show that three results hold:



- Devereux and Sutherland (2006) show that three results hold:
  - $\alpha$  enters the non-portfolio solutions only through the budget constraint



- Devereux and Sutherland (2006) show that three results hold:
  - $\alpha$  enters the non-portfolio solutions only through the budget constraint
  - Only  $\bar{\alpha}$  enters the first-order approximation of the budget constraints



- Devereux and Sutherland (2006) show that three results hold:
  - $\alpha$  enters the non-portfolio solutions only through the budget constraint
  - Only  $\bar{\alpha}$  enters the first-order approximation of the budget constraints
  - The portfolio excess return  $\bar{\alpha}\hat{r}_{x,t+1}$  is a zero mean iid. random variable, and  $E(\hat{r}_x) = 0$ .



Let

 $\tilde{\alpha} \equiv \bar{\alpha}/(\beta \bar{Y})$ 

Image: A math a math

• Let

$$\tilde{\alpha} \equiv \bar{\alpha}/(\beta \bar{Y})$$

• Let 
$${{{f \xi}_{t}}= ilde{lpha}\hat{r}_{{ ilde{x}},t+1}}$$
, a zero mean iid. random variable



・ロト ・日下 ・ 日下
Let

$$\tilde{\alpha} \equiv \bar{\alpha}/(\beta \bar{Y})$$

- Let  $\xi_t = \tilde{\alpha} \hat{r}_{x,t+1}$ , a zero mean iid. random variable
- Using this in the home budget constraint approximation:



Let

$$\tilde{\alpha} \equiv \bar{\alpha}/(\beta \bar{Y})$$

• Let  $\xi_t = \tilde{lpha} \hat{r}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle X,t+1}$ , a zero mean iid. random variable

• Using this in the home budget constraint approximation:

• 
$$\hat{W}_t = rac{1}{eta} \hat{W}_{t-1} + \hat{Y}_t - \hat{C}_t + \xi_t + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^2\right)$$



• 
$$A_1 \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_t [c_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + A_3 x_t + B\xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $x_t = N x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 



• 
$$A_1 \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_t [c_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + A_3 x_t + B\xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $x_t = N x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 

• s: vector of predetermined variables



• 
$$A_1 \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_t [c_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + A_3 x_t + B\xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $x_t = N x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 

- s: vector of predetermined variables
- c: vector of jump variables



• 
$$A_1 \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_t [c_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + A_3 x_t + B\xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $x_t = N x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 

- s: vector of predetermined variables
- c: vector of jump variables
- x: vector of exogenous forcing processes



• 
$$A_1 \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_t [c_{t+1}] \end{bmatrix} = A_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + A_3 x_t + B\xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $x_t = N x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ 

- s: vector of predetermined variables
- c: vector of jump variables
- x: vector of exogenous forcing processes
- ε: vector of iid. shocks



• The state-space solution then becomes:



• The state-space solution then becomes:

• 
$$s_{t+1} = F_1 x_t + F_2 s_t + F_3 \xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $c_t = P_1 x_t + P_2 s_t + P_3 \xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$ 



• The state-space solution then becomes:

• 
$$s_{t+1} = F_1 x_t + F_2 s_t + F_3 \xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$$
  
 $c_t = P_1 x_t + P_2 s_t + P_3 \xi_t + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^2)$ 

• We can use these equations to express the LHS terms of (1) in terms of  $\xi$  and exogenous terms



 $\bullet\,$  From state-space solution, we can extract the two terms on the LHS of (1):



- From state-space solution, we can extract the two terms on the LHS of (1):
- $\hat{r}_{x,t+1} = [R_1] \xi_{t+1} + [R_2]_i [\varepsilon_{t+1}]^i + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^2)$



- From state-space solution, we can extract the two terms on the LHS of (1):
- $\hat{r}_{x,t+1} = [R_1] \xi_{t+1} + [R_2]_i [\varepsilon_{t+1}]^i + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^2)$

$$\left(\hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^*\right) = \left[D_1\right]\xi_{t+1} + \left[D_2\right]_i \left[\varepsilon_{t+1}\right]^i + \left[D_3\right]_k \left[z_{t+1}\right]^k + O\left(\varepsilon_{APPR}^2\right)^{i}$$



٢

• From state-space solution, we can extract the two terms on the LHS of (1):

• 
$$\hat{r}_{x,t+1} = [R_1] \xi_{t+1} + [R_2]_i [\varepsilon_{t+1}]^i + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^2)$$

$$(\hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^*) = [D_1] \xi_{t+1} + [D_2]_i [\varepsilon_{t+1}]^i + [D_3]_k [z_{t+1}]^k + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^2)^{i}$$

where the vector of state variables:

$$z_{t+1}' = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_t & s_{t+1} \end{array} \right]$$



٥

• Using the fact that

$$\xi_{t+1} = \tilde{\alpha} \hat{r}_{x,t+1}$$

we get the reduced form equations:

$$\begin{split} \hat{r}_{x,t+1} &= \left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i} \left[\varepsilon_{t+1}\right]^{i} + O\left(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2}\right) \\ \left(\hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*}\right) &= \left[\tilde{D}_{2}\right]_{i} \left[\varepsilon_{t+1}\right]^{i} + \left[D_{3}\right]_{k} \left[z_{t+1}\right]^{k} + O\left(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

#### ...where the matrices are:

$$\begin{split} [\tilde{R}_{2}]_{i} &= \frac{1}{1 - [R_{1}]\tilde{\alpha}} [R_{2}]_{i} \\ [\tilde{D}_{2}]_{i} &= \left(\frac{[D_{1}]\tilde{\alpha}}{1 - [R_{1}]\tilde{\alpha}} [R_{2}]_{i} + [D_{2}]_{i}\right) \end{split}$$

• Now we can evaluate the LHS of (1)!!!

- ∢ ∃ ▶



## Solving for the portfolio approximation point

 $\bullet\,$  Combining the above terms, we can rewrite and simplify (1) as:

$$[\tilde{D}_2]_i [\tilde{R}_2]_j [\Sigma]^{i,j} = 0$$

# Solving for the portfolio approximation point

 $\bullet\,$  Combining the above terms, we can rewrite and simplify (1) as:

$$[\tilde{D}_2]_i [\tilde{R}_2]_j [\Sigma]^{i,j} = 0$$

• Solving for the corresponding equilibrium portfolio:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{[D_2]_i [R_2]_j [\Sigma]^{i,j}}{([R_1][D_2]_i [R_2]_j - [D_1][R_2]_i [R_2]_j) [\Sigma]^{i,j}}$$

## Time variation in equilibrium portfolios

• The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium



- The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium
- State variables change over time: portfolio choice problem is different in every period



- The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium
- State variables change over time: portfolio choice problem is different in every period
- $\alpha_t$  generally varies around  $\bar{\alpha}$



- The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium
- State variables change over time: portfolio choice problem is different in every period
- $\alpha_t$  generally varies around  $\bar{\alpha}$
- We want to know how risk characteristics are affected by evolution of state variables



- The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium
- State variables change over time: portfolio choice problem is different in every period
- $\alpha_t$  generally varies around  $\bar{\alpha}$
- We want to know how risk characteristics are affected by evolution of state variables
- Must know first-order effect of state variables on second moments of portfolio choice

- The above solution is non time-varying: now want to analyze portfolio behavior around equilibrium
- State variables change over time: portfolio choice problem is different in every period
- $\alpha_t$  generally varies around  $\bar{\alpha}$
- We want to know how risk characteristics are affected by evolution of state variables
- Must know first-order effect of state variables on second moments of portfolio choice
- We need a third-order approximation of portfolio problem



#### Third-order Approximation

• Combining third-order approximations of home and foreign protfolio choice FOCs yields:

$$E_{t} \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ - \frac{\rho}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} = O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^{4} \right) (3)$$

$$E_{t} \left[ \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \\ -\frac{1}{6} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{3} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{3} \right) \\ + \rho \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ -\frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ + \frac{\rho}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} + O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^{4} \right) (4)$$



## Third-order Approximation

 Combining third-order approximations of home and foreign protfolio choice FOCs yields:

$$E_{t} \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ + \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ - \frac{\rho}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} = O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^{4} \right) (3)$$

$$E_{t} \left[ \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \\ -\frac{1}{6} \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{3} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{3} \right) \\ + \rho \left( \hat{C}_{t+1} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ - \frac{\rho^{2}}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2*} \right) \hat{r}_{x,t+1} \\ + \frac{\rho}{2} \left( \hat{C}_{t+1}^{2} + \hat{C}_{t+1}^{*} \right) \left( \hat{r}_{1,t+1}^{2} - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^{2} \right) \end{bmatrix} + O \left( \epsilon_{APPR}^{4} \right) (4)$$

• These are the third-order equivalents of (1) and (2)

()

# The Portfolio Solution

• GOAL: find the time variation in portfolio decisions  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  such that (3) holds



- GOAL: find the time variation in portfolio decisions  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  such that (3) holds
- Apply previous procedure at a higher order



- GOAL: find the time variation in portfolio decisions  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  such that (3) holds
- Apply previous procedure at a higher order
- Find second-order approximation of budget constraint

- GOAL: find the time variation in portfolio decisions  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  such that (3) holds
- Apply previous procedure at a higher order
- Find second-order approximation of budget constraint

$$\hat{W}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta}\hat{W}_t + \hat{Y}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1} + \tilde{\alpha}\hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}_{t+1}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\hat{C}_{t+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}\left(\hat{r}_{1,t+1}^2 - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^2\right) + \hat{\alpha}_t\hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{\beta}\hat{W}_t\hat{r}_{2,t} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$$

- GOAL: find the time variation in portfolio decisions  $\hat{\alpha}_t$  such that (3) holds
- Apply previous procedure at a higher order
- Find second-order approximation of budget constraint

$$\hat{W}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\beta}\hat{W}_t + \hat{Y}_{t+1} - \hat{C}_{t+1} + \tilde{\alpha}\hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}_{t+1}^2$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\hat{C}_{t+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}\left(\hat{r}_{1,t+1}^2 - \hat{r}_{2,t+1}^2\right) + \hat{\alpha}_t\hat{r}_{x,t+1} + \frac{1}{\beta}\hat{W}_t\hat{r}_{2,t} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$$
• where

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{\beta \bar{Y}} (\alpha_t - \bar{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha_t}{\beta \bar{Y}} - \tilde{\alpha}$$

 Postulate that \$\hat{\alpha}\_t\$ is a linear function of the state variables of the model:

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \gamma' \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ s_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma' z_{t+1} = [\gamma]_k [z_{t+1}]^k$$



 Postulate that \$\hat{\alpha}\_t\$ is a linear function of the state variables of the model:

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \gamma' \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ s_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma' z_{t+1} = [\gamma]_k [z_{t+1}]^k$$

• Now we need to find the vector of coefficients  $\gamma$ 



 Postulate that \$\hat{\alpha}\_t\$ is a linear function of the state variables of the model:

$$\hat{\alpha}_t = \gamma' \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ s_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma' z_{t+1} = [\gamma]_k [z_{t+1}]^k$$

- Now we need to find the vector of coefficients  $\gamma$
- Let  $\xi_t = \hat{\alpha}_t \hat{r}_{x,t+1}$

$$A_{1} \begin{bmatrix} s_{t+1} \\ E_{t}(c_{t+1}) \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{A}_{2} \begin{bmatrix} s_{t} \\ c_{t} \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{A}_{3}x_{t} + \tilde{A}_{4}\Lambda_{t} + B\xi_{t} + O(\epsilon_{APPR}^{3})$$
$$x_{t} = Nx_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
$$\Lambda_{t} = vech \begin{bmatrix} x_{t} \\ s_{t} \\ c_{t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{t} & s_{t} & c_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

()
Now extract equations from state-space solution and use result that excess return on portfolio time-variation ξ<sub>t</sub> = â<sub>t</sub> î<sub>x,t+1</sub>:



 Now extract equations from state-space solution and use result that excess return on portfolio time-variation ξ<sub>t</sub> = â<sub>t</sub> r̂<sub>x,t+1</sub>:

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{C} - \hat{C}^*) &= [\tilde{D}_0] + [\tilde{D}_2]_j [\varepsilon]^i + [\tilde{D}_3]_k [z]^k \\ \bullet &+ [\tilde{D}_4]_{i,j} [\varepsilon]^i [\varepsilon]^j + \begin{pmatrix} [\tilde{D}_5]_{k,i} \\ + [\tilde{D}_1] [\tilde{R}_2]_i [\gamma]_k \end{pmatrix} [\varepsilon]^i [z]^k (5) \\ &+ [\tilde{D}_6]_{i,j} [z]^i [z]^j + O (\epsilon^3_{APPR}) \end{aligned}$$



 Now extract equations from state-space solution and use result that excess return on portfolio time-variation ξ<sub>t</sub> = â<sub>t</sub> î<sub>x,t+1</sub>:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{C} - \hat{C}^{*}) = [\tilde{D}_{0}] + [\tilde{D}_{2}]_{j} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{D}_{3}]_{k} [z]^{k} \\ \bullet & + [\tilde{D}_{4}]_{i,j} [\varepsilon]^{i} [\varepsilon]^{j} + \begin{pmatrix} [\tilde{D}_{5}]_{k,i} \\ + [\tilde{D}_{1}] [\tilde{R}_{2}]_{i} [\gamma]_{k} \end{pmatrix} [\varepsilon]^{i} [z]^{k} (5) \\ & + [\tilde{D}_{6}]_{i,j} [z]^{i} [z]^{j} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{3}) \end{aligned}$$
  
$$\bullet & \hat{r}_{x} = E [\hat{r}_{x}] - [\tilde{R}_{4}]_{i,j} [\Sigma]^{i,j} + [\tilde{R}_{2}]_{i} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{R}_{4}]_{i,j} [\varepsilon]^{i} [\varepsilon]^{j} \\ & + ([\tilde{R}_{5}]_{k,i} + [\tilde{R}_{1}] [R_{\tilde{2}}]_{i} [\gamma]_{k}) [\varepsilon]^{i} [z]^{k} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{3}) \end{aligned}$$
(6)



• From state-space representation, we can also extract these equations:



• From state-space representation, we can also extract these equations:

$$\hat{C} = [\tilde{C}_{2}^{H}]_{i} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{C}_{3}^{H}]_{k} [z]^{k} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2}) \\
\hat{C}^{*} = [\tilde{C}_{2}^{F}]_{i} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{C}_{3}^{F}]_{k} [z]^{k} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2}) \\
\hat{r}_{1} = [\tilde{R}_{2}^{1}]_{i} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{R}_{3}^{1}]_{k} [z]^{k} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2}) \\
\hat{r}_{2} = [\tilde{R}_{2}^{2}]_{i} [\varepsilon]^{i} + [\tilde{R}_{3}^{2}]_{k} [z]^{k} + O(\varepsilon_{APPR}^{2})$$
(7)

• Combining (5), (6) and (7) and simplifying, we can rewrite and simplify (3) as:



# Evaluating the LHS

- Combining (5), (6) and (7) and simplifying, we can rewrite and simplify (3) as:  $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \left( \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_j \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \end{bmatrix}_k \right) \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,i} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} = O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$



# Evaluating the LHS

- Combining (5), (6) and (7) and simplifying, we can rewrite and simplify (3) as:
- $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \left( \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_j \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \end{bmatrix}_k \right) \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} = O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$
- Solving for the equilibrium coefficient vector  $\gamma$  :



• Combining (5), (6) and (7) and simplifying, we can rewrite and simplify (3) as:

• 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \left( \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_j \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \end{bmatrix}_k \right) \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} = O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$$

 $\bullet$  Solving for the equilibrium coefficient vector  $\gamma$  :

$$\gamma_{k} = -\frac{\left(\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{D}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j} + \left[\tilde{D}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}\right)}{\left[\tilde{D}_{1}\right]\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}\right) (8)$$

• Combining (5), (6) and (7) and simplifying, we can rewrite and simplify (3) as:

• 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \left( \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_2 \end{bmatrix}_j \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \end{bmatrix}_k \right) \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_2 \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R}_5 \end{bmatrix}_{k,j} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \end{bmatrix}^{i,j} = O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}^3\right)$$

• Solving for the equilibrium coefficient vector  $\gamma$  :

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k} = -\frac{\left(\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{D}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j} + \left[\tilde{D}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}\right)}{\left[\tilde{D}_{1}\right]\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}\right)$$
(8)

 This gives us the first-order effect of state variables on portfolio time variation

()

• To implement, all we need to do is:



- To implement, all we need to do is:
  - Solve the non-portfolio part of the model to yield a state-space solution



- To implement, all we need to do is:
  - Solve the non-portfolio part of the model to yield a state-space solution
  - Extract the appropriate rows from this solution to form the D and R matrices



- To implement, all we need to do is:
  - Solve the non-portfolio part of the model to yield a state-space solution
  - Extract the appropriate rows from this solution to form the D and R matrices
  - Calculate  $\gamma$  based on equation (8)



- To implement, all we need to do is:
  - Solve the non-portfolio part of the model to yield a state-space solution
  - Extract the appropriate rows from this solution to form the D and R matrices
  - Calculate  $\gamma$  based on equation (8)
- Next: consider simple and specific example for illustration



• One-good, two-country endowment economy



- One-good, two-country endowment economy
- Agents have CRRA preferences:



- One-good, two-country endowment economy
- Agents have CRRA preferences:



• Endowments of single good and the money supply follow AR1 processes with iid. and symmetric shocks:

- One-good, two-country endowment economy
- Agents have CRRA preferences:

$$U_t = E_t \sum_{\tau=t}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau-t} \frac{C_t^{1-\rho}}{1-\rho}$$

• Endowments of single good and the money supply follow AR1 processes with iid. and symmetric shocks:

$$\log Y_{t} = \zeta_{Y} \log Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{Y,t}$$
$$\log Y_{t}^{*} = \zeta_{Y} \log Y_{t-1}^{*} + \varepsilon_{Y^{*},t}$$
$$\log M_{t} = \zeta_{M} \log M_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{M,t}$$
$$\log M_{t}^{*} = \zeta_{M} \log M_{t-1}^{*} + \varepsilon_{M^{*},t}$$

#### Time invariant Covariance matrix of Innovations





#### • Home and foreign nominal bonds are traded: $\alpha_{B,t}$ and $\alpha_{B^*,t}$



- Home and foreign nominal bonds are traded:  $\alpha_{B,t}$  and  $\alpha_{B^*,t}$
- Budget constraint:

$$W_{t} = \alpha_{B,t-1}r_{B,t} + \alpha_{B^{*},t-1}r_{B^{*},t} + Y_{t} - C_{t}$$



- Home and foreign nominal bonds are traded:  $\alpha_{B,t}$  and  $\alpha_{B^*,t}$
- Budget constraint:

$$W_t = \alpha_{B,t-1} r_{B,t} + \alpha_{B^*,t-1} r_{B^*,t} + Y_t - C_t$$

• We also have

$$W_t = \alpha_{B,t} + \alpha_{B^*,t}$$



• FOCs for consumption and bond holdings:



# Equilibrium conditions

• FOCs for consumption and bond holdings:

$$C_{t}^{-\rho} = \beta E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$C_{t}^{*-\rho} = \beta E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{-\rho} r_{B,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{-\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*-\rho} r_{B,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*-\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$



# Equilibrium conditions

• FOCs for consumption and bond holdings:

$$C_{t}^{-\rho} = \beta E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$C_{t}^{*-\rho} = \beta E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{-\rho} r_{B,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{-\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

$$E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*-\rho} r_{B,t+1} \right] = E_{t} \left[ C_{t+1}^{*-\rho} r_{B^{*},t+1} \right]$$

• Resource constraint:

$$C_t + C_t^* = Y_t + Y_t^*$$

• Equilibrium bond holdings:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_B = -\tilde{\alpha}_{B^*} = -\frac{\sigma_Y^2}{2(\sigma_M^2 + \sigma_Y^2)(1 - \beta\zeta_Y)}$$

< A</li>



• Equilibrium bond holdings:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_B = -\tilde{\alpha}_{B^*} = -\frac{\sigma_Y^2}{2(\sigma_M^2 + \sigma_Y^2)(1 - \beta\zeta_Y)}$$

• Now solve for time variation around eqm. bond holdings



• Recall the general formulas from above:



#### • Recall the general formulas from above:

• 
$$\gamma_{k} = -\frac{\left(\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{D}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j} + \left[\tilde{D}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{5}\right]_{k,j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}\right)}{\left[\tilde{D}_{1}\right]\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{j}\left[\Sigma\right]^{i,j}} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}\right)$$



#### • Recall the general formulas from above:

• 
$$\gamma_{k} = -\frac{\left(\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{D}_{5}\right]_{k,j}[\Sigma]^{i,j} + \left[\tilde{D}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{5}\right]_{k,j}[\Sigma]^{i,j}\right)}{\left[\tilde{D}_{1}\right]\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{i}\left[\tilde{R}_{2}\right]_{j}[\Sigma]^{i,j}} + O\left(\epsilon_{APPR}\right)$$
  
 $\hat{\alpha}_{t} = \gamma' \begin{bmatrix} x_{t} \\ s_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma' z_{t+1} = [\gamma]_{k}[z_{t+1}]^{k}$ 

## Forming the appropriate matrices

$$\tilde{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{D}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2(1-\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{R}_{5} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\tilde{D}_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{2} & -\Delta_{1} & -\Delta_{1} & \Delta_{1} \\ \Delta_{1} & -\Delta_{2} & -\Delta_{1} & \Delta_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Delta_{3} & \Delta_{3} + 2(1-\beta) & 0 & -2(1-\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

()

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{1} &= -\frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta\zeta_{Y}}\tilde{\alpha}_{B}\left\{\left(1-\zeta_{Y}\right)\rho\left[1-\zeta_{Y}\left(1-\beta\right)\beta\right]+\zeta_{Y}\left(1-\beta\right)\right\}\\ \Delta_{2} &= -\frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta\zeta_{Y}}\frac{\beta\left(1-\zeta_{Y}\right)^{2}\zeta_{Y}\left(1-\beta\rho\right)}{\left(1-\beta\zeta_{Y}\right)\left(1-\beta\zeta_{Y}^{2}\right)}+\Delta_{1}\\ \Delta_{3} &= -\frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta\zeta_{Y}}\frac{1-\beta\left[1-\left(1-\zeta_{Y}\right)\beta\rho\right]}{\beta} \end{split}$$

• • • • • • • •

()

## Solutions for time variations in bond holdings

$$\hat{\alpha}_{B,t} = \gamma_1 Y_t + \gamma_2 Y_t^* + \gamma_3 M_t + \gamma_4 M_t^* + \gamma_5 \hat{W}_t \hat{\alpha}_{B^*,t} = -\gamma_1 Y_t - \gamma_2 Y_t^* - \gamma_3 M_t - \gamma_4 M_t^* + (1 - \gamma_5) \hat{W}_t$$



## Solutions for time variations in bond holdings

$$\hat{\alpha}_{B,t} = \gamma_1 Y_t + \gamma_2 Y_t^* + \gamma_3 M_t + \gamma_4 M_t^* + \gamma_5 \hat{W}_t$$
$$\hat{\alpha}_{B^*,t} = -\gamma_1 Y_t - \gamma_2 Y_t^* - \gamma_3 M_t - \gamma_4 M_t^* + (1 - \gamma_5) \hat{W}_t$$

where the vector of coefficients:



## Solutions for time variations in bond holdings

$$\begin{split} \hat{\alpha}_{B,t} &= \gamma_{1}Y_{t} + \gamma_{2}Y_{t}^{*} + \gamma_{3}M_{t} + \gamma_{4}M_{t}^{*} + \gamma_{5}\hat{W}_{t} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{B^{*},t} &= -\gamma_{1}Y_{t} - \gamma_{2}Y_{t}^{*} - \gamma_{3}M_{t} - \gamma_{4}M_{t}^{*} + (1 - \gamma_{5})\,\hat{W}_{t} \\ \bullet \text{ where the vector of coefficients:} \\ \gamma_{1} &= \gamma_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{(1 - \zeta_{Y})\left[1 - \rho + (1 - \beta)\,\beta\rho\zeta_{Y}^{2}\right]}{1 - \beta\zeta_{Y}}\right)\tilde{\alpha}_{B} \\ \zeta_{3} &= \gamma_{4} = 0 \\ \gamma_{5} &= \frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

Image: Image:


• Paper extends Devereux and Sutherland (2006) solution method for equilibrium portfolios to higher order approximations



- Paper extends Devereux and Sutherland (2006) solution method for equilibrium portfolios to higher order approximations
- Finds analytical expressions for dynamic behavior of portfolios in open economy GE models



- Paper extends Devereux and Sutherland (2006) solution method for equilibrium portfolios to higher order approximations
- Finds analytical expressions for dynamic behavior of portfolios in open economy GE models
- Provides simple and clear insights into factors determining the dynamic evolution of portfolios

