Unpacking Sources of Comparative Advantage: A Quantitative Approach

> Paper by Davin Chor Presentation by Mario Jales

> > Cornell University 26 March 2008



Motivation



- Comparative Advantage (CA) as the foundation of economists' understanding of patterns of trade.
- Recent ressurgence in empirical work on sources of CA:
 - **Productivity Levels:** Eaton & Kortum (2002).
 - Factor Endowments: Debeare (2003), Romalis (2004).
 - Institutions: Beck (2003), Manova (2006), Levchenko (2004), Nunn (2007), Costinot (2006), Cuñat & Melitz (2006).
- **Goal of Paper:** To develop a methodology for quantifying the importance of different sources of CA.
- What Makes This Paper Different:
 - Holistic view of the sources of CA (rather than testing for Ricardian and Heckscher-Ohlin forces in isolation).
 - Multi-sector analysis.
 - Estimation of model in a manner consistent with the prevalence of zero observations.

Roadmap



- 1. Extension of Eaton-Kortum (EK) model. V
- 2. OLS baseline results. \checkmark
- **3.** Accounting for zero trade flows. \checkmark
- 4. SMM procedure.
- 5. Welfare counterfactuals.

Benchmark Model: An Extension of EK

• Basic Set-up:

- N countries
- K industries
 - k = 0, non-tradables (homogeneous good sector)
 - $k \ge 1$, differentiated products industries, with the continuum of varieties within each industry indexed by $j^k \in [0,1]$

• Utility:
$$U_n = (Q_n^0)^{1-\eta} \left(\sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\int_0^1 (Q_n^k(j))^{\alpha} dj \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\eta}{\beta}}$$

Nested CES function

- Where: $Q_n^k(j)$ denotes quantity of variety j from industry k consumed in country n.
 - $\mathcal{E} = 1/(1-\alpha) > 1$ is ES between varieties from same industry.
 - φ = 1/(1−β) > 1 is ES between varieties from different industries.
 ε > φ > 1.





• Representatative consumer solves:

$$Max \left(Q_{n}^{0}\right)^{1-\eta} \left(\sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(Q_{n}^{k}(j)\right)^{\alpha} dj\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{\beta}} s.t. Y_{n} = Q_{n}^{0} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{n}^{k}(j)Q_{n}^{k}(j)dj\right)$$
$$\begin{cases} Q_{n}^{0}(j) = \frac{(1-\eta)Y_{n}}{p_{n}^{0}(j)} = (1-\eta)Y_{n} & \text{for } k = 0 \end{cases}$$
Which yields:
$$\begin{cases} Q_{n}^{k}(j) = \frac{\eta Y_{n}}{p_{n}^{k}(j)^{\varepsilon}} \frac{(P_{n}^{k})^{\varepsilon-\phi}}{\sum_{k\geq 1} \left(P_{n}^{k}\right)^{1-\phi}} & \text{for } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Where: $(P_n^k)^{1-\varepsilon} = \int_0^1 (p_n^k(j))^{1-\varepsilon} dj$ is the ideal price index for industry *k*.

• Price:

- Perfect competition.
- CRS technology, no fixed costs (price = average cost).
- *p*^k_{ni}(j) denotes the price that country *i* charges for exporting variety *j* of industry *k* to country *n*, where:

$$p_{ni}^k(j) = \frac{c_i^k d_{ni}^k}{z_i^k(j)}$$

- C_i^k is unit production cost, $c_i^k = \prod_{f=0}^F (w_{if})^{s_f^k}$ with $\sum_{f=0}^F s_f^k = 1$ and $s_f^k \in (0,1)$
 - f indexes factors of production.
 - w_{if} denotes local unit price of factor f.
 - s_f^k share of total factor payments in industry k to factor f.
- d_{ni}^k is price mark-up, with $d_{ni}^k > 1$ and $d_{ni}^k \le d_{nm}^k d_{mi}^k$.
- $z_{ni}^{k}(j)$ is the productivity term.



• Productivity:



- Country Characteristics indexed by *l*: *L_{il}*
- Industry Characteristics indexed by m: M_{km}
- Exporter Fixed Effects: λ_i
- Industry Fixed Effects: μ_k
- Productivity Shocks: $\mathcal{E}_i^k(j)$
 - Independent draws from the Type I Extreme Value (Gumbel) distribution, with cdf $F(\varepsilon) = \exp(-\exp(-\varepsilon))$



Gumbel Distribution



PDF

CDF





Gumbel and Fréchet





GUMBEL DISTRIBUTION IN BLACK FRÉCHET DISTRIBUTION IN RED & LIGHT BLUE

• Rewrite price as:

$$\ln p_{ni}^{k}(j) = \ln(c_i^{k}d_{ni}^{k}) - \lambda_i - \mu_k - \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} L_{il} M_{km} - \beta_0 \varepsilon_i^{k}(j)$$

• Distribution of prices:

$$G_{ni}^{k}(p) = \Pr\{p_{ni}^{k}(j) < p\} = 1 - \exp\{-(c_{i}^{k}d_{ni}^{k})^{-\theta}p^{\theta}\varphi_{i}^{k}\}$$

Where:

- $\theta = \frac{1}{\beta_0}$ (inverse productivity spread parameter)
- $\varphi_i^k = \exp\{\theta \lambda_i + \theta \mu_k + \theta \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} L_{il} M_{km}\}$ (increasing in systematic comp.)
- Price actually paid by country *n* for variety *j* from industry *k*: $p_n^k(j) = \min\{p_{ni}^k(j) : i = 1,...,N\}$
- Industry *k* price distribution facing country *n*: $G_n^k(p) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^N [1 - G_{ni}^k(p)] = 1 - \exp\{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (c_i^k d_{ni}^k)^{-\theta} \varphi_i^k p^\theta\}\right)$



Probability of country *i* being the lowest-price provider of an industry-*k* variety to country *n*:

$$\pi_{ni}^{k} = \int_{0}^{\infty} [1 - G_{ns}^{k}(p)] dG_{ns}^{k}(p) = \underbrace{(c_{i}^{k} d_{ni}^{k})^{-\theta} \varphi_{i}^{k}}_{\sum_{s=1}^{N} (c_{s}^{k} d_{ns}^{k})^{-\theta} \varphi_{s}^{k}} \qquad \qquad \text{Contribution}$$

- Let X_{ni}^k denote the value of industry-*k* exports from country *i* to *n*.
- Then $\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{ni}^{k}$ is country *n*'s total consumption in industry *k*.
- An expression for trade flows can be derived as:

$$\frac{X_{ni}^{k}}{X_{n}^{k}} = \frac{\pi_{ni}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} p_{n}^{k}(j) Q_{n}^{k}(j) dj dG_{n}^{k}(p_{n}^{k})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{ni}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} p_{n}^{k}(j) Q_{n}^{k}(j) dj dG_{n}^{k}(p_{n}^{k})} = \pi_{ni}^{k} = \frac{(c_{i}^{k} d_{ni}^{k})^{-\theta} \varphi_{i}^{k}}{\sum_{s=1}^{N} (c_{s}^{k} d_{ns}^{k})^{-\theta} \varphi_{s}^{k}}$$

• Useful to normalize by country *n*'s expenditure share from a fixed reference country, *u*:

$$\frac{X_{ni}^{k}}{X_{nu}^{k}} = \frac{(c_{i}^{k}d_{ni}^{k})^{-\theta}\varphi_{i}^{k}}{(c_{u}^{k}d_{nu}^{k})^{-\theta}\varphi_{u}^{k}}$$
(1) Basis for OLS estimation





- Differences:
 - Chor replaces each term with its industry-specific counerpart
 - Chor replaces T_i with $\varphi_i^k = e_i^{\{\theta \lambda_i + \theta \mu_k + \theta \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} L_{il} M_{km}\}}$

- Deriving the Estimating Equation
 - Specify $d_{ni}^k = \exp\{\beta_d D_{ni} + \delta_k + \zeta_{ni} + v_{ni}^k\}$
 - Where $\beta_d D_{ni}$ is a liner combination of distance variables:
 - physical distance,
 - shared linguistic ties,
 - colonial links,
 - border relationships,
 - indicator for trade regional trade agreements,
 - Indicator for GATT membership.
 - δ_k is industry fixed effect
 - $\zeta_{ni} + v_{ni}^{k}$ are idiosyncratic shocks, iid, with $\begin{cases} \zeta_{ni} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta}^{2}) \\ v_{ni}^{k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{p}^{2}) \end{cases}$





• Take log of (1):

$$\ln\left(\frac{X_{ni}^{k}}{X_{nu}^{k}}\right) = -\theta \sum_{f=0}^{F} (\ln w_{if} - \ln w_{uf}) s_{f}^{k} + \theta \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} (L_{il} - L_{ul}) M_{km} - \dots$$

$$\dots - \theta \beta_{d} (D_{ni} - D_{nu}) + \theta (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{u}) - \theta (\zeta_{ni} - \zeta_{nu}) - \theta (v_{ni}^{k} - v_{nu}^{k})$$
(2)

- Both $\theta \sum_{f=0}^{F} (\ln w_{if} \ln w_{uf}) s_{f}^{k}$ and $\theta \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} (L_{il} L_{ul}) M_{km}$ capture how well conditions in country *i* provide for the production needs of industry *k*.
- The first term picks up the role of Heckscher-Ohlin forces (endowment-based cost advantage)
- The second term identifies sources of CA stemming from a country's ability to provide right institutional and technological conditions for the industry.



• Since
$$s_{0}^{k} = 1 - \sum_{f=1}^{F} s_{f}^{k}$$
, we can rewrite (2) as:

$$\ln\left(\frac{X_{ni}^{k}}{X_{nu}^{k}}\right) = -\theta \sum_{f=1}^{F} \left(\ln\frac{w_{if}}{w_{i0}} - \ln\frac{w_{uf}}{w_{u0}}\right) s_{f}^{k} + \theta \sum_{\{l,m\}} \beta_{lm} (L_{il} - L_{ul}) M_{km} - \dots$$

$$\dots - \theta \beta_{d} (D_{ni} - D_{nu}) + I_{i} + I_{nk} - \theta \zeta_{ni} - \theta v_{ni}^{k}$$
(3)

- Where constants have been rearranged as $I_i = \theta \lambda_i$ and $I_{nk} = \theta \zeta_{nu} + \theta v_{nu}^k$.
- However, since good data on factor prices is not readily available, use proxy for relative prices: inverse relative factor endowments, $\ln \frac{V_{if}}{V_{uf}}$
- Finally, we obtain the estimating equation:

$$\ln\left(\frac{X_{ni}^{k}}{X_{nu}^{k}}\right) = \sum_{f=1}^{F} \theta \beta_{f} \left(\ln\frac{V_{if}}{V_{i0}} - \ln\frac{V_{uf}}{V_{u0}}\right) s_{f}^{k} + \sum_{\{l,m\}} \theta \beta_{lm} (L_{il} - L_{ul}) M_{km} - \dots$$

$$\dots - \theta \beta_{d} (D_{ni} - D_{nu}) + I_{i} + I_{nk} - \theta \zeta_{ni} - \theta v_{ni}^{k}$$
(4)

OLS Estimation: Summary



- 82 countries.
- 20 sectors, 2-digit US SIC-87 Classification.
- United States as fixed reference country "u"
- 80% of all recorded manufacturing trade in 1990.
- 82 x 81 x 20 = 132,840 observations.
- Only 32.4% record positive amount of trade.
- OLS estimates have to be interpreted as effects conditional on observing positive trade flows into a country from both exporter *i* and the United States.
- OLS regression results: See handout.

